Influence of the fragile X mental retardation (FMR1) gene on the brain and working memory in men with normal FMR1 alleles
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The fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1) gene plays an important role in the development and maintenance of neuronal circuits that are essential for cognitive functioning. We explored the functional linkage(s) among lymphocytic FMR1 gene expression, brain structure, and working memory in healthy adult males. We acquired T1-weighted and diffusion tensor imaging from 37 males (18–80 years, mean ± SD = 40.7 ± 17.3 years) with normal FMR1 alleles and performed genetic and working memory assessments. Brain measurements were obtained from fiber tracts important for working memory (i.e. the arcuate fasciculus, anterior cingulum bundle, inferior longitudinal fasciculus, and the genu and anterior body of the corpus callosum), individual voxels, and whole brain. Both FMR1 mRNA and protein (FMRP) levels exhibited significant associations with brain measurements, with FMRP correlating positively with gray matter volume and white matter structural organization, and FMR1 mRNA negatively with white matter structural organization. The correlation was widespread, impact rostral white matter and 2 working-memory fiber tracts for FMRP, and all cerebral white matter areas except the fornix and cerebellar peduncles and all 4 fiber tracts for FMR1 mRNA. In addition, the levels of FMR1 mRNA as well as the fiber tracts demonstrated a significant correlation with working memory performance. While FMR1 mRNA exhibited a negative correlation with working memory, fiber tract structural organization showed a positive correlation. These findings suggest that the FMR1 gene is a genetic factor common for both working memory and brain structure, and has implications for our understanding of the transmission of intelligence and brain structure.
Levels of the expanded CGG-repeat FMR1 mRNA could thus have an impact on child development (i.e., could be the pathogenic basis for the neurodevelopmental phenotypes among carriers of premutation alleles) (Chonchaiya et al., 2012; Farzin et al., 2006), as well as the clear RNA toxicity associated with aging (Hagerman and Hagerman, 2004). Boys with FMR1 premutation alleles are reported to have a higher risk of developing autism spectrum disorders and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorders (Chonchaiya et al., 2012; Farzin et al., 2006), whereas older (adult) carriers of premutation alleles may develop FXTAS, typically after 50 years of age (Hagerman and Hagerman, 2004). Among the range of noted cognitive impairments, working memory has emerged as a consistent impairment associated with both the fragile X full mutation (Lanfranchi et al., 2009) and the premutation (Cornish et al., 2009; Hashimoto et al., 2011a).

Working memory is defined as a system that temporarily holds and manipulates a limited amount of information for the accomplishment of complex cognitive tasks such as comprehension, learning, and reasoning (Baddeley, 2000). Extensive research has been conducted to understand and localize this complex cognitive function. Working memory has been recognized as a central component of human intelligence (Wechsler, 1997a). It is highly heritable (Karlsgodt et al., 2010) and is affected in a number of neurogenetic disorders in addition to fragile X syndrome, including schizophrenia, 22q deletion syndrome, and autism spectrum disorders (Greene et al., 2008; Karlsgodt et al., 2011). Functional neuroimaging studies have identified a distributed neural network that mediates the function of working memory (Rama, 2008; Zimmer, 2008). This network encompasses both modality-specific cortical areas in the temporal, parietal, and occipital lobes for encoding sensory-motor information and supramodal regions in the frontal and parietal lobes for maintaining and manipulating task information. Structural MRI studies (Burzynska et al., 2011; Charlton et al., 2010; Sepulcre et al., 2009; Takeuchi et al., 2010; Vestergaard et al., 2011; Zahr et al., 2009) have also been conducted to search for white matter tracts serving as the structural backbone of the working memory network and reported the following fiber tracts as being associated with working memory performance: the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF; carrying the frontoparietal pathways), inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF; contains the superior parietal lobule pathway in Charlton et al., 2010), corpus callosum, fornix, cingulum, thalamic radiation, and cerebellar white matter.

Only one study (Hashimoto et al., 2011a) has investigated brain-mediated FMR1 effect on working memory. This functional MRI study reported reduced activation during a verbal working memory task in core working memory areas—right ventral inferior frontal cortex and left premotor/dorsal inferior frontal cortex for both premutation carriers with and without FXTAS compared to healthy controls. In addition, the study detected a negative effect of CGG repeat length on the activation of the right ventral inferior frontal cortex when the carriers with and without FXTAS were combined. Currently, the influence of FMR1 expression on the brain and working memory in individuals with normal alleles remains unknown.

Accordingly, the principal-objective of the current study is to assess the functional linkage(s) among FMR1 gene expression, the brain white and gray matter structure, and working memory performance in healthy adult males. To this end, we have acquired diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996; Mori et al., 1999) as well as T1-weighted MRI to perform an in vivo examination of the macro- and micro-structures of the gray and white matter. We found that FMRP showed a significant positive correlation with gray matter volume, and FMR1 mRNA showed a negative correlation with brain-level white matter structural organization. Both FMRP and FMR1 mRNA exhibited correlations with DTI tractography- and voxel-based measurements, where higher FMRP and lower FMR1 mRNA were associated with improved white matter structural organization. In addition, FMR1 protein and mRNA levels as well as tractography measurements correlated significantly with working memory, demonstrating the close relationships between the FMR1 gene, the brain structure, and working memory observable in normal populations.

Materials and methods

Research participants

We recruited 37 healthy males from the local community, among which 26 were non-Hispanic Caucasian, 3 Hispanic Caucasian, 4 Asian, 3 with more than one race, and 1 of unknown ethnic background. None of the participants had neuropsychological disorders, prolonged loss of consciousness, brain infection, major psychiatric illness such as bipolar or schizophrenia, major chronic illness (cancer, liver disease, etc.), head trauma, a history of substance or alcohol abuse, or extensive T2-hyperintensive lesions or retinal damage due to diabetes or hypertension. While none of the younger males (< 50 years) had diabetes or hypertension, 1 of the 13 older males (> 50 years) was diagnosed as having type II diabetes and 4 had hypertension. Structured Clinical Interviews for DSM-IV (SCID-I) revealed that at the time of the study, 4 participants had a mood and/or anxiety disorder and 14 had a past mood and/or anxiety disorder (lifetime). All participants signed an informed consent and agreed to participate in the study. The local institutional review board at the University of California, Davis approved the research protocol.

Working memory assessments

Working memory performance was measured using the working memory subtests from the Wechsler Memory Scale, Third Edition (WMS) (Wechsler, 1997b), which provide standardized, age-scaled scores. The average index score is 100, with a standard deviation 15.

Molecular genetic measures

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood lymphocytes using standard methods (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). CGG repeat size was determined using real time polymerase chain reaction and Southern blot analysis performed on an Alpha Innotech FluorChem 8800 Image Detection System (San Leandro, CA) as previously described (Filipovic-Sadic et al., 2010). FMR1 mRNA was quantified on a 7900 sequence detector (PE Biosystems) using the published method (Filipovic-Sadic et al., 2010; Tassone et al., 2000). FMRP level was measured utilizing a recently described sandwich enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for FMRP (Iwashii et al., 2009), which quantifies the FMRP level directly rather than counting the proportion of cells with detectable staining in the commonly used immunocytochemistry method.

Neuroimaging data acquisition

We acquired DTI from 32/37 participants, the only imaging modality that allows us to examine white matter spatial organization in vivo (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996; Mori et al., 1999). DTI measures spatial distribution of water diffusion in the brain which is constrained by brain tissues. In the white matter where axons form large fiber bundles and run in parallel, water molecules tend to diffuse more along than against fiber tracts. Based on this characteristic, the dominant diffusion direction is found by modeling the spatial distribution of water diffusion using tensors. From tensors, diffusion measurements are calculated including fractional anisotropy (FA) for measuring water-diffusion directionality and mean diffusivity (MD) for the amount of restriction to water diffusion due to the presence of brain tissues. Additional useful measurements include axial diffusivity (AD), the amount of diffusion along the dominant diffusion direction, and radial diffusivity (RD), the average diffusion perpendicular to the dominant diffusion direction. Although controversial, AD and RD have been proposed for
differentiating compromised axon from myelin loss, with AD reduction indicating the former and RD elevation the latter (Song et al., 2002). After tensor calculation, fiber tracking is performed by connecting voxels with similar dominant diffusion direction to form DTI streamlines that can infer anatomical connections between brain regions.

Neuroimaging was performed on a Siemens Trio 3 T MRI scanner with an 8-channel head coil (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). DTI data were acquired using an EPI sequence in 72 axial slices of 1.9 mm thickness without gaps. The FOV was 243 mm, the matrix was 128 × 128, the TR was 11,900 ms, the TE was 92 ms, and the flip angle was 90°. The diffusion weighted images were obtained at a b-value of 700 s/mm² in 30 directions along with five images with minimum diffusion weighting. High-resolution T1-weighted 3D magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) images were also obtained from all 37 participants. The participants came from two separate studies and thus were scanned with two slightly different sequences. The younger participants (<45 years, N = 24) were scanned in 192 sagittal slices of 1 mm thickness (no gap) with 256 mm FOV, 256 × 256 matrix, TR of 2170 ms, TE of 4.82 ms, and 7° flip angle. The older participants (≥45 years, N = 13) were scanned in 208 sagittal slices of 0.95 mm thickness (no gap) with 243 mm FOV, 256 × 256 matrix interpolated to 512 × 512, TR of 2500 ms, TE of 4.33 ms, and 7° flip angle.

Image processing

Following image acquisition, we corrected eddy current current and motion in DTI images and performed skull stripping (Smith, 2002) using the software package FSL (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/, University of Oxford, UK). The subsequent tensor calculation, DTI map generation, and fiber tracking were conducted in DTI Studio (cmnn.med.jhmi.edu/, Johns Hopkins Medical Institute, Baltimore, MD). To ensure the successful reconstruction of small curvature tracts such as the uncinate fasciculus, the FA threshold was set to 0.18 and the angle threshold to 70°. A multiple regions-of-interest (ROI) approach was adopted to extract a tract of interest (Wakana et al., 2004, 2007).

Four fiber tracts important for working memory were reconstructed and comprised the arcuate fasciculus, anterior cingulum, inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), and the genu (CCg) and anterior body (CCab) of the corpus callosum. The fiber tracking methods have been described previously and have been tested for both inter-rater reliability and test–retest reliability (Wang et al., 2011, 2012a, 2012b). For the arcuate fasciculus, its three components were reconstructed according to Catani et al.’s (2005) definition, comprising the direct connection between the Broca’s area with Wernicke’s area, the anterior component linking the Broca’s area with Geschwind’s area, and the posterior component linking the Geschwind’s area with Wernicke’s area. Subsequently, the three components were combined to form an arcuate fasciculus complex. A comparison of the anterior arcuate fasciculus with the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) reconstructed following a published method (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2012) showed substantial overlapping between the anterior arcuate fasciculus and the third branch of the SLF (SLFIII, Appendix Fig. 1). For the corpus callosum, only the genu (CCg) and anterior body (CCab) that have been shown to be important for working memory (Takeuchi et al., 2010; Zahr et al., 2009) were included in the analyses. Fiber regions were quantified using FA, MD, and tract volume (i.e. the number of voxels occupied by the streamlines of a fiber tract).

Volumes of the gray and white matter were estimated from the MPRAGE using the SITENAX function (Smith et al., 2002) from FSL. SITENAX is an automated tool that performs volume estimations for the gray matter, white matter, and CSF following the steps of brain extraction, affine-registration to the MNI152 space, tissue segmentation, and volume calculation. Another set of global brain values, the average FA and MD values of the white matter and the average MD of the gray matter, were obtained by superimposing gray and white matter masks on FA and MD maps generated from the DTI Studio in the subjects’ native space. Firstly, the mean b0 images were calculated from the DTI Studio and served as the input files for tissue segmentation. The mean b0 images were utilized in replacement of T1 scans to avoid imperfect co-registration between T1 and DTI images that commonly occurs in DTI images containing EPI-related image distortion. Secondly, tissue segmentation was performed using the Segment module from the Statistical Parametric Mapping Software (SPM5) (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK) running on MATLAB version 7.10.0 (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The Segment module integrates tissue classification, bias correction, and nonlinear warping to generate tissue probability maps of the gray and white matter and CSF from which binary masks of the gray and white matter were generated by setting the threshold at 94% probability using an in-house MATLAB script. The white matter volumes calculated from the SPM5 masks showed excellent associations with the white matter volumes, estimated using FSL Sienax, while the association between the gray matter volumes was not significant (Appendix Fig. 2).

Thirdly, the same MATLAB script was utilized to mask the FA and MD maps with the gray and white matter masks to calculate the averaged DTI values for the gray and white matter.

Voxel-based analysis was conducted using Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) from FSL. TBSS generates a skeleton from the core of the white matter that is least affected by imperfect image registration and partial volume effect, the two common issues during the process of group analysis. Firstly, FA, MD, AD, and RD maps were generated from DTI images using the FDT toolbox from FSL. Secondly, all FA images were non-linearly registered to the MNI152 standard-space image and then affine transformed to the MNI152 space. The resulting transformation matrix was also applied to other DTI images. Thirdly, the mean FA map was generated and thinned to create the mean FA skeleton. Then an FA threshold of 0.2 was applied to create a skeleton mask. Finally, the aligned DTI maps were projected onto the skeleton mask to generate the images for individual participants to be used in the statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

For the DTI data, the global measurements were estimated as the average FA or MD over the gray or white matter. The FA and MD for individual fiber tracts were calculated in DTI Studio as the average FA and MD values for all voxels occupied by the reconstructed DTI streamlines, with the voxels occupied by multiple streamlines counted multiple times. To assess the correlation between the genetic and DTI data, multiple linear regression was employed to predict individual tractography measurements from either FMRIB’S FA standard-space image and then affine transformed to the MNI152 space. The resulting transformation matrix was also applied to other DTI images. Thirdly, the mean FA map was generated and thinned to create the mean FA skeleton. Then an FA threshold of 0.2 was applied to create a skeleton mask. Finally, the aligned DTI maps were projected onto the skeleton mask to generate the images for individual participants to be used in the statistical analysis.

The association between imaging data and working memory performance was assessed in multiple linear regression using individual tractography measurements as the independent variable and age as a covariate to predict working memory scores. In addition, to predict working memory scores from the overall connectivity strength of the working memory fiber tracts, we conducted partial least square (PLS) regression (Abdi, 2010). Because of the high variability in some of the tractography measurements, all tractography measurements were transformed into ranks before further processing. To test the generalization of the result to a new dataset, the jackknife procedure (“a.k.a. ‘leave one out’) of the PLS regression was performed by taking out participants one by one and predicting their neuropsychological test scores using the remaining participants. The quality of the prediction was evaluated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient between the working memory scores and predicted scores.
The PLS regression was conducted using Matlab scripts. For multiple regression, the Matlab function, regress, was utilized. The Benjamini-Hochberg method of false discovery rate (FDR) (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) implemented in the Matlab function, FDR, was applied to control for family-wise error for each type of analysis (e.g. the correlation between tractography measurements and FMR1-related measurements was considered as one type of the analyses, so FDR was applied to all tractography and FMR1-related measurements).

Results

Research participants

Thirty-seven healthy males were recruited (age mean ± SD = 40.7 ± 17.3 years; range, 18–80 years) who served as the comparison group for studies investigating the effect of FMR1 premutation on brain and behavior (Table 1). The CGG length of these participants ranged from 19 to 42. The average mRNA level was 1.48 (SD 0.26). The average FMRP level was 109.7 (SD 56.1) for the 32 males whose FMRP levels were available. One male’s FMRP level (29 years-old) was 473.6, about 6.5 SD higher than the group average. This value was treated as an outlier and excluded from all analyses involving FMRP. Working memory performance was evaluated using the Working Memory Index from the Wechsler Memory Scale, Third Edition (WMS-III) (Wechsler, 1997b). The score for one 24-year-old male was 71, about 2.8 SD lower than the group mean (112, SD 15). As a result, this score was excluded from further analysis.

Effect of FMR1 gene expression on tractography measurements

We acquired DTI from 32 male controls and performed tractography (Catani et al., 2005; Mori et al., 1999; Wakana et al., 2004) to reconstruct fiber tracts important for working memory; namely, the arcuate fasciculus complex, anterior cingulum, inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), and genu (CCg) and anterior body (CCab) of the corpus callosum (Fig. 1). Of these 32 males, 27 had available FMRP data. While no tractography measurements showed correlation with FMR1 mRNA and/or FMRP after age-adjustment, the measurements that exhibited significant correlation with FMR1 mRNA were the FA and MD of the left arcuate fasciculus and left ILF, and the FA of the right ILF, CCg, and CCab. Five measurements showed significant correlation with FMRP: tract volume of the bilateral ILF and CCab, and FA of the left ILF and CCg (Table 2). FMR1 mRNA reduction and FMRP elevation were uniformly associated with elevation in FA and tract volume, and reduction in MD.

We further tested the unique effect of the FMR1 mRNA and FMRP on tractography measurements using mRNA, FMRP, and age as independent variables in multiple regression. Five tractography measurements continued to show a significant correlation with FMR1 mRNA in 32 males: the FA (partial \( r^2 = 0.22, p = 0.019 \)) and MD (partial \( r^2 = 0.20, p = 0.023 \)) of the left arcuate fasciculus, the FA (partial \( r^2 = 0.24, p = 0.013 \)) and MD (partial \( r^2 = 0.25, p = 0.010 \)) of the left ILF, and the FA (partial \( r^2 = 0.17, p = 0.044 \)) of the right ILF. Four measurements continued to display a significant correlation with FMRP in the 27 males: the tract volume of the left (partial \( r^2 = 0.34, p = 0.008 \)) and right (partial \( r^2 = 0.28, p = 0.016 \)) ILF, CCg FA (partial \( r^2 = 0.31, p = 0.049 \)), and CCab tract volume (partial \( r^2 = 0.15, p = 0.039 \)).

As 14/32 participants had a past mood and/or anxiety disorder, we added SCID diagnosis as an additional covariate in the multiple linear regression using age and FMR1 variables for predicting tractography measurements. SCID diagnosis was not significant for any of the predictions. The tractography measurements that correlated significantly with FMR1 mRNA at 5% FDR (\( p = 0.006 \)) were the left AfC FA and MD, left ILF FA and MD, and CCab FA. In addition, the tract volume of the bilateral ILF correlated significantly with FMRP.

Effect of FMR1 mRNA and FMRP on voxel-based DTI measurements

To examine the correlation between the FMR1 mRNA and FMRP and white matter integrity without a priori assumptions, we conducted voxel-based analysis at the white matter core using tract-based spatial statistics (Smith et al., 2006) (TBSS). Using age as a covariate, TBSS revealed widespread positive correlations between FMRP and FA (Fig. 2a) most prominent at rostral white matter regions, impacting the frontal white matter, extreme capsule, internal capsule, arcuate fasciculus, ILF, cingulum, and corpus callosum. TBSS also showed significant negative correlations between the FMR1 mRNA level and FA in a broad distribution of cerebral white matter, with only the fornix body and cerebellar peduncles not showing this correlation (Fig. 2b). TBSS showed positive correlations between FMR1 mRNA and MD in the CCg, arcuate fasciculus, and ILF (Fig. 2c). Subsequently, TBSS analyses were performed on axial diffusivity (AD) (i.e. the amount of diffusion along the predominant diffusion direction) and radial diffusivity (RD) (i.e. the average diffusion perpendicular to the predominant diffusion direction) maps to discern which DTI parameter contributed to the association between FMR1 mRNA and MD (Song et al., 2002). The result demonstrated wide-spread association between FMR1 mRNA and RD similar to the association between FMR1 mRNA and FA (Fig. 2d).

Effect of FMR1 gene expression on global brain measurements

We further investigated the relationship of FMR1 mRNA and FMRP with whole brain measurements such as gray and white matter volumes, the average FA of the white matter, and the average MD of the gray and white matter (Table 3). The gray matter volume survived 5% FDR (\( p = 0.015 \)), showing significant correlation with FMRP after controlling for age (Fig. 3). As the younger and older participants were scanned using two different T1-weighted sequences, we further analyzed the data involving the volume estimations from Sienax (i.e. gray and white matter volumes) using scanner as an additional covariate. The addition of the scanner covariate made only negligible changes to the prediction of the gray matter volumes from FMRP, and the scanner was not a substantial contributor to the associations (Appendix Table 1).

Associations between brain measurements and working memory

Next, we assessed the associations of brain measurements with working memory performance. Twelve tractography measurements passed 5% FDR (\( p = 0.011 \)) after excluding the age effect (Appendix Table 2). All FA and MD measurements showed a significant correlation except the FA of the right arcuate fasciculus, MD of the left ILF, and FA and MD of CCg. In addition, the FA measurements uniformly exhibited a positive correlation with working memory performance while the MD measurements exhibited a negative correlation. For the association between global brain measurements and working memory performance, white matter FA (\( n = 27 \), partial \( r^2 = 0.26, p = 0.007 \)) and MD (\( n = 27 \), partial \( r^2 = 0.33, p = 0.002 \)) reached significance at 5% FDR (\( p = 0.007 \)).

Table 1

The participants’ demographic information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>18–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGG length</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>19–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMRP</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>13.6–239.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR1 mRNA level</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.01–1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS working memory</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>91–141</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To test whether the combination of the 24 tractography measurements could predict working memory performance, we conducted partial least square (PLS) regression (Abdi, 2010) to find the latent variables that explain the most covariance between the tractography measurements and working memory scores. We also applied the jackknife procedure (a.k.a. leave-one-out) to test the generalizability of the results to new datasets. The tractography measurements were able to predict working memory significantly \((n = 27, r = 0.73, p < 0.001; \text{jackknife } r = 0.65, p < 0.001)\). Only one latent variable was identified from the PLS regression, which explained 46.2% variance of the tractography measurements and 53.4% variance of the working memory score. The analysis of the latent variables showed that 21/24 measurements made substantial contribution to the prediction. The exceptions were the tract volume of left AFc, left cingulum and right ILF.

Association between FMR1 gene expression and working memory

FMR1 CGG repeat length, mRNA, and protein show neither a correlation with age, nor with each other. In contrast, the scores of working memory showed a negative correlation with FMR1 mRNA \((r = -0.37, p = 0.039)\) and the positive correlation with FMRP approached significance \((r = 0.35, p = 0.064)\) (Fig. 4).

Associations with T2-hyperintensive lesions

As the amount of T2-hyperintensive lesions may be an important contributing factor in older participants, we further studied correlation between T2-hyperintensive lesions with all measurements obtained in the current study (age, tractography measurements, global brain measurements, CGG repeat size, FMR1 mRNA, FMRP, and working memory performance) in the 13 males above age 50. We counted the number of brain areas with T2-hyperintensive lesions in the areas of the cerebellar peduncles, pons, corpus callosum, bilateral insula, and bilateral frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes. The results showed that the average number of brain areas with T2-hyperintensive lesions was 2.3, SD 1.7, range 0–5. No measurements showed a significant correlation at 5% or even 10% FDR. We concluded that T2-hyperintensive lesions probably do not have a major effect on our main findings.

Discussion

Accumulating evidence from molecular studies suggests the involvement of FMR1 at both pre- and post-synaptic sites for shaping brain circuits critical for cognitive processing (Bassell and Warren, 2008). We present structural imaging findings consistent with these observations, showing significant associations in healthy males between
**Table 2**

Predicting tractography measurements using *FMR1* mRNA or protein levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber tracts and measurements</th>
<th>Descriptive (N = 32)</th>
<th><em>FMR1</em> mRNA as a predictor (N = 32)</th>
<th><em>FMR1</em> mRNA</th>
<th>FMRP as a predictor (N = 27)</th>
<th>FMRP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Partial r²</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left arcuate fasciculus complex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>2453</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right arcuate fasciculus complex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left anterior cingulum</strong></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right anterior cingulum</strong></td>
<td>195</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left inferior longitudinal fasciculus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>3557</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right inferior longitudinal fasciculus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>3846</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpus callosum genu</strong></td>
<td>3908</td>
<td>1183</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpus callosum anterior body</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract volume</td>
<td>2563</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bold:** significant correlation at 5% FDR (p = 0.013) in multiple linear regression using age as a covariate; +: positive correlation; −: negative correlation.

*FMR1* transcriptional and translational expression and white matter structural organization, and between FMRP and gray matter volume. These findings indicate the role of *FMR1* gene expression in modulating brain structures that is observable even within the normal *FMR1* CGG-repeat range. While the structural connectivity analyses revealed positive associations of FMRP with the structural organization of the ILF, Ccg, and CCab, voxel-based analysis using TBSS detected widespread positive association with FA in rostral white matter regions, impacting the frontal white matter, extreme capsule, internal capsule, arcuate fasciculus, ILF, cingulum, and corpus callosum. The association of *FMR1* mRNA with white matter structural organization was even more widespread compared to that of FMRP, being apparent in six major fiber tracts (the cerebral peduncular fibers, extreme capsule fibers, arcuate fasciculus, ILF, cingulum, and corpus callosum) in DTI tractography and voxel-based TBSS analyses.

Although the broadly-distributed effect of FMRP and *FMR1* mRNA was unexpected in the normal population, the findings are consistent with the gene’s role in translation regulation of one-third of the proteins in the pre- and post-synaptic proteomes critical for synaptic plasticity (Darnell et al., 2011). One of these proteins is the myelin basic protein (MBP), which is an essential protein component of the myelin sheath (Kambolz et al., 1987) and a prominent constituent of intranuclear inclusions in premutation carriers with FXTAS who present with widespread myelin and axonal damage in the CNS (Greco et al., 2006). Through the control of synthesis and RNA-stability of the MBP and other synaptic proteins (Wang et al., 2004; Zalfa et al., 2007), the *FMR1* may be a part of the signal transduction pathways converting receptor activity into physiological changes in brain structures (Darnell et al., 2011).

In this study, we explored the mechanism through which *FMR1* expression may influence white matter structures. We compared voxel-based AD and RD values in TBSS to discern whether the amount of myelination played a role in the association. The rationale for this investigation was derived from the shiverer mouse model of dysmyelination in which a homozygous recessive autosomal mutation of MBP leads to incomplete myelin formation in the CNS. Importantly, the dysmyelination in the shiverer mice manifested in DTI as RD elevation without changes in AD (Song et al., 2002). We found that RD, not AD, showed a significant positive association with *FMR1* mRNA. In healthy conditions, both more fiber branching and less myelination can lead to RD elevation. However, theoretically if all other conditions (e.g. tissue packing density, myelination, etc.) are held constant, fiber branching alone does not affect MD, which is determined by the amount of restrictions to water diffusion due to the presence of biological tissues (Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996); in contrast, reduced myelination can lead to increased MD due to the RD elevation (Beaulieu, 2002). In the current...
study, both TBSS and tractography analyses detected the associations of higher *FMR1* mRNA with FA reduction and MD elevation in the same areas. Therefore, less myelination was more likely than fiber branching to be the major contributor to the increased RD associated with elevated mRNA. Further verifications are needed to test this assumption.

It is important to note that the current study presents indirect measurements of *FMR1* and FMRP expressions in the brain and white matter structural organization. In particular, the levels of *FMR1* mRNA and FMRP were measured from peripheral blood lymphocytes and therefore are not brain area-specific, and the white matter structural organization

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain global measurements</th>
<th>Descriptive</th>
<th><em>FMR1</em> mRNA as a predictor</th>
<th>FMRP as a predictor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter volume (l)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter volume (l)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter FA</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter MD ($\mu$m²/ms)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter MD ($\mu$m²/ms)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bold:** significant correlation at 5% FDR ($p=0.015$) in multiple linear regression using age as a covariate; $+$: positive correlation; $-$: negative correlation.
was inferred through brain imaging. Although critical, it is unknown whether our findings reflect the true associations between FMR1 and FMRP expressions and white matter structural organization in specific brain areas. In addition, the direction of correlation between FMR1 and FMRP expressions and brain measurements needs to be verified through larger studies, although the current observations are consistent with the existing evidence. FMRP levels showed a positive correlation with gray matter volume and the volume and FA of fiber tracts in the current study. Although speculative, it is possible that increased (FMRP-dependent) translocation of MBP mRNA to the myelin compartment is partially responsible for the observed positive correlation between FMRP and FA. The direction of correlation is also in line with the finding from fragile X full mutation. In fragile X full mutation, lack of FMRP expression leads to immature dendritic spine and axon morphology (Irwin et al., 2001; Tessier and Broadie, 2008) and arrested growth of white matter volume during adolescence (Bray et al., 2011).

Elevated cortical and subcortical volumes have been observed in boys with fragile X syndrome, which is postulated to be caused by insufficient pruning due to FMRP depletion (Hoefl et al., 2010; Meguid et al., 2012). However, this does not preclude that the insufficient pruning during development may lead to an accelerated decline in gray matter volume at adulthood seen in individuals with autism (Courchesne et al., 2011). Consistently, in adult males with fragile X syndrome (mean age 30 years, SD 8 years), no differences in gray matter volumes were detected compared to age-matched controls, although both regional volume elevation and reduction were present (Hallahan et al., 2011). For white matter FA and MD, no significant correlation with FMR1 mRNA or FMRP has been reported in fragile X premutation or full mutation, although reduced FA in the frontostriatal pathway and parietal sensory-motor areas relative to healthy controls has been found in female full mutation carriers (age 13.1–22.7 years) (Barnea-Goraly et al., 2003). When comparing premutation carriers without FXTAS to age-matches, we detected a significant diffusivity elevation in the middle cerebellar peduncle and left cerebral peduncle in older carriers (>40 years old) (Hashimoto et al., 2011b) and greater age-related decline of FA in the extreme capsule (Wang et al., 2012b) in young carriers (<45 years old). Additional studies are needed to further delineate the complex trajectory of brain volume changes over the life span in relation to individual differences in FMRP expression levels in normal alleles and to establish the influence of dendritic spine morphology on gray matter volume and white matter structures in normal, premutation, and full mutation alleles.

The current study also showed a negative correlation between FMR1 mRNA and white matter structural organization, suggesting that higher levels of FMR1 mRNA may exert a subtle (i.e., sub-clinical) “toxic” influence on cellular function, or may possibly prevent FMRP from functioning optimally. However, this “toxic” influence on cellular function in normal alleles may be fundamentally distinct from the RNA-toxicity gain of function effect observed in premutation alleles (Garcia-Arocena and Hagerman, 2010; Hagerman, 2012; Hagerman and Hagerman, 2004), which requires both long, expanded CGG repeats in the premutation range and elevated FMR1 mRNA levels for sequential sequestration of proteins vital for cell survival into giant RNA aggregates (Hoem et al., 2011; Sellier et al., 2010). In addition, FMR1 transcriptional level may be modulated in different ways between premutation and normal alleles. Both cis and trans regulatory elements and epigenetic modifications have been identified to influence FMR1 mRNA level. These are the signaling pathways involved in retinoic acid, Ca2+, neuronal activity, and cellular stress, histone modification, and proteins that bind to FMR1 promoter (Bear et al., 2004; Jeon et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2005; Pfeiffer et al., 2010). In premutation alleles, FMR1 mRNA and FMRP expression levels may be particularly influenced by the CGG repeat element in the 5′ untranslated region, evident in the positive correlation between FMR1 mRNA and CGG repeat length and the negative correlation between FMRP and CGG repeat length (Tassone et al., 2000, 2007a). While the abnormal regulation of the 5′- and 3′-untranslated regions (UTRs), due to CGG expansion, may underlie the slight FMRP-deficiency in fragile X premutation (Bellina et al., 2004; Tassone et al., 2011), the mechanisms through which the CGG element exerts an influence on the transcript level remain elusive. In normal alleles, the associations between CGG repeat length and levels of FMR1 transcript and protein do not exist.
(Tassone et al., 2007b) probably due to the absence of the regulatory effect from the cis elements. Therefore, we did not expect to find a strong correlation between FMR1 mRNA and brain structures in normal alleles. Important aims for future studies are to conduct histological and animal studies to verify the associations between the FMR1 gene and brain structures and to shed light on the specific cellular mechanisms underlying the influence from the FMR1 gene.

The analyses of gene–brain–behavior relationships demonstrated the functional significance of both FMR1 gene expression and tractography measurements. While FMR1 mRNA levels showed weak, but significant correlation with working memory performance, the four working memory-related fiber tracts demonstrated a robust correlation with working memory performance except for the CCG, which showed correlation significant at 10% FDR. Importantly, the correlation between the fiber tracts and working memory performance are highly generalizable to new datasets as it is indicated by the jackknife procedure of PLS regression. The four fiber tracts provide structural backbones for a distributed neural network of working memory, interconnecting the posterior parietal cortex important for spatial processing, prefrontal lobe for higher level cognitive control and maintenance, occipito-temporal areas for object recognition, and anterior cingulate gyrus for attention (Zimmer, 2008). The involvement of the arcuate fasciculus and CcAb in working memory is consistent with the recent findings of increased FA in these two fiber regions as the result of working memory training (Takeuchi et al., 2010). The widespread correlation between white matter fiber tracts and working memory is also in concordance with a recent study of 420 adults between age 70 and 80 years (Penke et al., 2012) in which the latent FA factor from 12 major fiber tracts correlated strongly with general intelligence. It is possible (and likely) that the FMR1 plays a role in other aspects of cognition that we did not include in the analyses. In addition, an inheritance study has suggested the presence of potential common genetic factors that contribute to both working memory and the FA of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (contains the anterior arcuate fasciculus and the arcuate fasciculus) (Karlsgodt et al., 2010). The current study suggests FMR1 as a potential candidate for a genetic factor common to both brain structural connectivity and working memory if the correlation between the FMR1 and working memory can be verified in a larger study. Alternatively, FMR1 may exert its effect on working memory through the brain, indicated by the strong correlation of the brain measurements with both FMR1 and FMRP expressions and working memory performance. This finding is significant given the role of working memory as a central component of human intelligence (Wechsler, 1997a). Understanding the cellular mechanisms underlying these relationships may help us discover effective treatments for disorders associated with fragile X premutation and full mutation.

One strength of the current study was to utilize multiple imaging analysis methods (i.e. DTI tractography- and voxel-based measurements and brain-level DTI and volume measurements), and statistical tools (i.e. multiple linear regression and PLS regression) to verify the results and showed general consistency of the findings. The verification using multiple methods is important since the associations between FMR1 mRNA and brain structures were unexpected in normal alleles based on the small range of FMR1 mRNA levels. Nevertheless, readers should consider the findings with certain caveats. As mentioned above, the obtained FMR1 mRNA and FMRP levels, and white matter structural organization, were indirect measurements of the brain values and thus require verifications from histological and animal studies. The anterior arcuate fasciculus overlaps with the SLFII (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2012). However, other branches of SLF (i.e. SLFII and SLFIII) that have shown importance for working memory cannot be reconstructed using the current method. Utilizing cortical-area-based ROIs may be advantageous over ROI-drawings on coronal or sagittal slices for the successful reconstruction of the SLF. Limitations in DTI image acquisition and preprocessing included relatively low b-value (700 s/mm²), uncorrected partial volume effect from CSF and EPI distortion, and insufficient control over subject motion and cardiac pulsation. These might have added noise to DTI measurements especially in the areas around the lateral ventricles, brain stem, cerebellum, and prefrontal lobes (Huang et al., 2008; Irfanoglu et al., 2012; Jones and Cercignani, 2010; Pasternak et al., 2009; Tournier et al., 2011). In the current study, we used archival DTI data acquired during 2007–2009, and parallel imaging was not available for these image acquisitions. The amount of EPI distortion may be too severe to be corrected effectively using post-processing techniques (Huang et al., 2008). We are currently collecting DTI with parallel imaging along with T2-weighted MRI, which will allow the application of post-processing methods for correcting EPI-distortion (Huang et al., 2008; Irfanoglu et al., 2012). These images will be useful for verifying the results in the current study, although we do not yet have an adequate number of scans to perform the analysis. We selected fiber tracts having a major role in working memory or having working memory as their primary function in the study. The current list is not exhaustive, and thus might have excluded other fiber tracts important for working memory. Although the effect of the FMR1 gene on the brain is robust, the sample size is relatively small compared to other genetic studies. The sample is not entirely healthy as revealed by the SCID and hypertension and diabetes review. Future studies could examine the effect of FMR1 in healthy controls without these issues and in patients with anxiety and mood disorders, hypertension, and diabetes in details. In addition, it remains unknown whether the observed effect of FMR1 expression on brain structures and working memory extends to other populations such as females, or children whose brains are still developing under the influence from FMR1 and other genes.

Conclusions

The current study revealed a novel finding of FMR1 as a potential common genetic factor underlying individual differences in brain structure and working memory in healthy males. FMR1 mRNA exhibited a significant correlation with working memory, and the fiber tracts important for working memory displayed significant associations with both FMR1 and working memory performance. Further studies are needed to elucidate cellular mechanisms underpinning the influence of FMR1 on brain structure.
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